U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

FEB 21 1935

Form 504 Rev. Dec. 1993 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic | Sheet No.P. & DD

aliyalmayan piloin

New Jersey State

a. Point Comfort to Conover Deacon to Bliffwood Beacon to Bliffwood Beach b. Whale Creek to Lorilland

1934

CHIEF OF PARTY



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DE SE LES DE LES

FEB 28 1935 8

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

Acc.	Nø.	

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. W. P. P. C.
62178
REGISTER NO. 62178
6217b
State New Jersey,
State New Jersey, a. Sandy Hook Bay General locality Racitan Bay a. Point Comfort to Conover Beacon Locality Condrow Conover Beacon Locality Condrow Conover Beacon
General locality And Control of the Local Sandy Hook Bay
b. Whale Creek to Lorillard
Locality Congress Season to White Cod Season
Scale1:10,000 Date of survey June - July , 19
Vessel Field Party No. 14
Chief of partyE. R. McCarthy
Surveyed by J. R. Brosnan
Inked by J. R. Brosnan
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of tree
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated May 10 1934
Remarks:

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT to accompany TOPOGRAPHIC SHEETS NO. D & DD

AUTHORITY:

Instructions of the Director dated May 10,1934.

LIMITS:

The south shore of Raritan Bay from Cliffwood Beach to Conover Beacon, including Matawan and Compton Creeks.

CONTROL:

There was ample control on both sheets from the 1932 triangulation of Greater New York.

METHODS:

Usual plane table methods of traverse; an aluminum sheets was used. Stadia rods checked before and after survey and no appreciable error was found. In Keyport Harbor, the signals were located by cuts from triangulation stations.

CLOSURES:

These closures were given a proportional adjustment.

From	То	Dist. Mi.	Clos.(meters)
Walnut	Con	1.5-	1.
Con	Vigne	0.4 ~	0-
Vigne	Tallest chy, -	1.4	. 5∕
Tallest chy	Lattice Mast	1.2-	4-
Lattice Mast	Pt.Comfort Bn(old	1.7~	3 /
Pt.Comfort Bn (Old)	SHB 3 (USE)	2.1 -	5∕
SHB 3 (USE)	Conover Bn. (From	t) 2.6 /	5 -
RNB 9 (USE)	(BEF HELEN) Cliffw	ood	
	* Beach	0.9	2.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST:

GENERAL:

The shore line along the south shore of Raritan Bay is regular. It consists of alternate stretches of sand beach and marsh of varying lengths. There are public amusements and concessions at Cliffwood Beach, Union Beach, Ideal Beach and Keansburg. The buildings and houses are of light construction and poorly built and all the resorts are of a poor class.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST:

TOWNS AND BOROS:

Port Monmouth is located at the mouth of Compton Creek, it is of no importance except for the fertilizer factory and it is inhabitated only by fishermen.

Keansburg is a summer resort for the poorer class of people and along the board-walk there are amusements and concessions. The beach has been protected by wooden bulkheads and small jetties.

Keyport is the most important town in this area and at one time had direct communication with New York by freight boats. At the present time this is only seasonal.

The Aero-Marine plant and Hensler-Kofoed yacht works are located at Keyport, otherwise the town today is of Little commercial importance. Gas, oil and water can be obtained here.

Cliffwood Beach is one of the better class restrts in this section. The beach has been developed by wooden jetties and bulkheads. Amusements and concessions may be found along the boardwalk.

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS:

A system of traverse was executed by the U. S. Engineers (U. S. Harbor Line Board) along the south shore of Raritan and Sandy Hook Bays, from South Amboy to Highlands during the years of 1912,1915 and 1920. Marked stations were established at frequent intervals. Spur lines based on the original traverse have been run in recent years in the vicinity of Keyport and Port Monmouth (Compton Creek).

In 1932 the Coast and Geodetic Survey recovered a few of these stations and located them, by triangulation; of these points RNB 9 (USE) and SHB 3 (USE) fall within the area of the sheet. The topographic survey located on the entire sheet all stations of the engineers traverse that could be recovered.

The co-ordinate system was plotted on both sheets by assuming that the co-ordinates of system RNB 9 (USE) (which was located by triangulation in 1932) were correct. A projection was then made drawing the five thousand foot intervals perpendicular and parallel to the latitudes and constructiong the projection with the same origin RNB 9 (USE) on both sheets.

The engineers stations were plotted on the coordinate grid using the values given on the blueprint furnished by the Harbor Line Board and checking them against the position as determined by triangulation and topography. The differences are shown on the following table.

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS (CON'T):

Station	Dist.from RNB 9(USE) Miles	Method of Determination	f Discrepancy.
Wall (USE)	0.75-	Торо	至 0~
Flower Dock (USE)	1.75 -	Торо	3 meters E. of true station
RNB 18 (USE)	3.75 ′	Topo	4.3 meters E. of true station
Pt.Comfort Lt.(USE)	5.5	Trian.	5.5 meters S. of true station
SHB 3 (USE)	7.0-	Trian.	3.2 meters S. of true station
SHB 4 (USE)	8.0/	Topo.	1 meter \$. of true station
			4.2 meters W. of true station
Conover Bn. (USE	10.0 /	Trian.	2 meters S of true station
			4.0 meters W. of true station.

The errors in the U. S. Engineers traverse can not be accounted for as no information can be obtained of how the original survey was run. Some of the error may be to marsh, some to offsets.

LANDMARKS:

The more important new landmarks are described in the attached list.

MISCELLANEOUS:

The shoreline drawn in pencil on the sheet was obtained from blueprints furnished by air photo topographic party.

Respectfully submitted

y. R. Brosnan,

Topographer, C. & G. Survey

Approved and Forwarded:

E. R. McCarthy, Chief of Party,

Lieutenant (j.g.) C. & G. Survey.

Form 567 ec., 1929

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

1.5

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

MANDMARKSTFORUCHARTS NON PLOADING AIDS TO HAVIGATION

	-	 	 	Miami,	Florida			
					Pobruary	14	193	35

DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the description given below, and should be charted.

	E. R. McCart					Chief of Party.			
	POSITION								
DESCRIPTION	LATITUDE			LONGITUDE		1927	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED	
	٥	1	D. M. METERS	۰	•	D. P. METERS	1923		_
Conover Beacon Front Range	40	25	509.5	74	05	518.1	n	Tri.	5 69
Waschaok Light- house	40	26	1176.4	74	08	275.3	ù	n	5 69
Hew Point Comfort Beacon Lighthouse		26	1684.5	74	07	408.8	ei	11	369
			-				•		
									,,:
									
				<u> </u>					,
							<u>**</u>		
				-					
									• •
				-					
	· -								
			<u> </u>						

A list of objects which are of sufficient prominence for use on the charts, together with a description of the same, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report. The selection, determination, and description of these points are of primary importance.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will identify it; for example, standpipe, water tower, church spire, tank, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to

chart.

U. B. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1931



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

				Elami,	Florida			
					February	14	193	₃ 5
•	** 0 0	~	~				,	

DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the description given below, and should be charted.

	E. B. Hecarthy							
	1	·- <u></u> -						
DESCRIPTION		LATITUDE			BOUTIE	N.A.	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	0 1		D. M. METERS	0 1	D. P. METERS	7424		
TANK (ELEV) (Kesnaburg Voter tank)	40	26'	684	74 07	1008	n	Topo	266 -3 69
				l		•		
	-						·	
	ļ.—				2			
		<u> </u>			!			
	į Į			,				
			·					
	 				 		-	
					ļ			
•								
	·							
	 							
					<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					[]	,		
	 		-					
· - · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
					<u> </u>			

A list of objects which are of sufficient prominence for use on the charts, together with a description of the same, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report. The selection, determination, and description of these points are of primary importance.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will descript it; for example, standpipe, water tower, church will report to the chief of the chief o

The description of each object should be short, but such as will identify it; for example, standpipe, water tower, church spire, tank, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart.

Date of Review Oct., 1935

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. $T=5/\delta/$, , with particular attention to the following details:
 - (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. T-5/0/, , for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

no corrections have been noted.

J. R. Sobievalski B.g. gones

Date of Review

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. T=5702, with particular attention to the following details:
 - (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - * (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. T-5/9Z, for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

* The following Sepagnaphic officer have been accepted so reforeated on the compilation T-5102 and constated in green on the graphic control survey T-62176

Cat (No Stat Melow Ho.)

For (W. Stat Spece No)(d)

Ned (No. Stat Spece No)(d)

Ned (No. Stat while Part.)

Gefort Gericu T-5102.

Bin (Stack Black Power Plant) reported boothy line

Other Inspection Orty (destroyed)

B. g. Jones States of